Name of the Faculty: Faculty of Computer Studies
Name of the Program: PhD
Students Feedback for design and review of syllabus

Academic Year: 2019 - 2020   **Batch : August 2019**

Please mention in 1 or 2 sentences the process of feedback collection, analysis and action taken -
 Our Ph.D alumni / Scholars feedback is valuable for us as it provides us the inputs regarding improvement in facilities and employability of our student as well as their views on curriculum and look forward to improvise on the curriculum in the following year's Program Structure.
We appeal our alumni to provide their sincere feedback to us though google forms as well at the time of coursework.

No. of Respondents :   07

Questionnaire with parameter wise number of responses: (Please fill analysis of scale/ choice based questions only. Please do not mention text based /descriptive question responses here)

 Sample table is attached below . In case of an unstructured feedback, please write a brief paragraph explaining how the feedback was taken and a gist of the feedback in place of the below given table

Sr No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Bad
1	The University curriculum has prepared me adequately for my Ph.D. journey and I have received adequate knowledge for the same	2	5			
2	The curriculum of the coursework is well designed and promotes learning experience and research experience of the scholars	4	3			
3	The courses offered by the University have relevance to societal needs and Ph.D. potential	3	4			
4	The University encourages contributions from Scholars in curriculum and Scholar development	4	1	2		

Sr No	Question	Yes	No
1	Would you recommend any new course/topic to be added to the coursework?	2	5
	Machine learning and other latest topics can be focused subjects		
	Courses on research tools		

Summary of Feedback Analysis and Action Taken:

Sr. No	Particulars /	Action Taken
Machine learning and other latest topics can be focused subjects		To be concidered after PRC / BoS committee approval
Courses on research tools		

Note: Please enclose Three filled forms.

Name and Signature of
Faculty In Charge / Coordinator

Dr. Ajey Kumar - Faculty In Charge-FoCS,

Ms. Archana Pardeshi - Ph.D Coordinator - FoCS, SIU

Name and Signature of Dean

Dr. Dhanya Pramod

Dean - FoCS, SIU

Filled form 1 (Scanned Copy)

Ph.D. Coursework Feedback on design and review of the syllabus

Ph.D Coursework Feedback - Batch Aug. 2019 (FoCS-SIU)

Feedback on basis of Rating : 5 = Strongly Agree 4 = Agree 3 = Neutral 2 = Disagree 1 = Strongly Disagree.

Email address *

sujata.ambekar3011@gmail.com

The University curriculum has prepared me adequately for my Ph.D. journey and I have received adequate knowledge for the same *

- ◯ 5
- ⦿ 4
- ◯ 3
- ◯ 2
- ◯ 1

Filled form 2 (Scanned Copy)

Ph.D. Coursework Feedback on design and review of the syllabus

Ph.D Coursework Feedback - Batch Aug. 2019 (FoCS-SIU)

Feedback on basis of Rating : 5 = Strongly Agree 4 = Agree 3 = Neutral 2 = Disagree 1 = Strongly Disagree.

Email address *

dhruva.19@gmail.com

The University curriculum has prepared me adequately for my Ph.D. journey and I have received adequate knowledge for the same *

- ⦿ 5
- ○ 4
- ○ 3
- ○ 2
- ○ 1

Filled form 3 (Scanned Copy)

Ph.D. Coursework Feedback on design and review of the syllabus

Ph.D Coursework Feedback - Batch Aug. 2019 (FoCS-SIU)

Feedback on basis of Rating : 5 = Strongly Agree 4 = Agree 3 = Neutral 2 = Disagree 1 = Strongly Disagree.

Email address *

prajwal.bhalsing@iccs.ac.in

The University curriculum has prepared me adequately for my Ph.D. journey and I have received adequate knowledge for the same *

- ○ 5
- ◉ 4
- ○ 3
- ○ 2
- ○ 1

Name of the Faculty: Faculty of Computer Studies
Name of the Program: PhD
Stakeholders Feedback for design and review of syllabus
Academic Year: 2019 - 2020   Batch -   Aug. 2019
Please mention in 1 or 2 sentences the process of feedback collection, analysis and action taken -   We have collected the feedback from faculty members of Ph.D Programmee regarding the curriculum and any changes in the curriculum suggested. Moreover some faculty members from our institute contributed in syllabus restructuring or suggesting new courses in the upcoming academic year's curriculum. Some of the suggestions made by the faculties are put forth in the BoS of the FoCS..

No. of Respondents :   **03**

Questionnaire with parameter wise number of responses: (Please fill analysis of scale/ choice based questions only. Please do not mention text based /descriptive question responses here)

Sample table is attached below . In case of an unstructured feedback, please write a brief paragraph explaining how the feedback was taken and a gist of the feedback in place of the below given table

Sr No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Bad
1	The University curriculum has prepared me adequately for my Ph.D. journey and I have received adequate knowledge for the same	1	2			
2	The curriculum of the coursework is well designed and promotes learning experience and research experience of the scholars	2	1			
3	The courses offered by the University have relevance to societal needs and Ph.D. potential	2	1			
4	The University encourages contributions from Scholars in curriculum and Scholar development	1	2			

Sr No	Question	Yes	No
1	Would you recommend any new course/topic to be added to the coursework?	1	2
	Webanalytics to be inclued in syllabus		

Summary of Feedback Analysis and Action Taken:

Sr. No	Particulars / Action	Action Taken
Webanalytics to be inclued in syllabus		will put for the BoS committee consideration

Note: Please enclose Three filled forms.

Name and Signature of
Faculty In Charge / Coordinator   SCIT   Name and Signature of Dean

Dr. Ajey Kumar - Faculty In Charge-FoCS, SIU

Ms. Archana Pardeshi - Ph.D Coordinator - FoCS [signature]

Dr. Dhanya Pramod

Dean - FoCS, SIU

Filled form 1 (Scanned Copy)

Feedback from faculty members – Ph.D. Coursework Feedback on design and review of the syllabus

Ph.D Coursework Feedback - Batch Aug. 2019 (FoCS-SIU)

Feedback on basis of Rating : 5 = Strongly Agree 4 = Agree 3 = Neutral 2 = Disagree 1 = Strongly Disagree.

Email address *

ajeykumar@scit.edu

The University curriculum has prepared me adequately for my Ph.D. journey and I have received adequate knowledge for the same *

- ◉ 5
- ○ 4
- ○ 3
- ○ 2
- ○ 1

Filled form 2 (Scanned Copy)

Feedback from faculty members – Ph.D. Coursework Feedback on design and review of the syllabus

the syllabus

Ph.D Coursework Feedback - Batch Aug. 2019 (FoCS-SIU)

Feedback on basis of Rating : 5 = Strongly Agree 4 = Agree 3 = Neutral 2 = Disagree 1 = Strongly Disagree.

Email address *

haridas.undri@gmail.com

The University curriculum has prepared me adequately for my Ph.D. journey and I have received adequate knowledge for the same *

- ○ 5
- ● 4
- ○ 3
- ○ 2
- ○ 1

Filled form 3 (Scanned Copy)

Feedback from faculty members - Ph.D. Coursework Feedback on design and review of the syllabus

Ph.D Coursework Feedback - Batch Aug. 2019 (FoCS-SIU)

Feedback on basis of Rating : 5 = Strongly Agree 4 = Agree 3 = Neutral 2 = Disagree 1 = Strongly Disagree.

Email address *

The University curriculum has prepared me adequately for my Ph.D. journey and I have received adequate knowledge for the same *

- ○ 5
- ◉ 4
- ○ 3
- ○ 2
- ○ 1

Name of the Faculty: Faculty of Computer Studies
Name of the Program: PhD
Students Feedback for design and review of syllabus
Academic Year: 2019 - 2020   Batch - Jan. 2020
Please mention in 1 or 2 sentences the process of feedback collection, analysis and action taken - Our Ph.D alumni / Scholars feedback is valuable for us as it provides us the inputs regarding improvement in facilities and employability of our student as well as their views on curriculum and look forward to improvise on the curriculum in the following year's Program Structure. We appeal our alumni to provide their sincere feedback to us though google forms as well at the time of coursework.
No. of Respondents :   07
Questionnaire with parameter wise number of responses: (Please fill analysis of scale/ choice based questions only. Please do not mention text based /descriptive question responses here)

Sample table is attached below . In case of an unstructured feedback, please write a brief paragraph explaining how the feedback was taken and a gist of the feedback in place of the below given table

Sr No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Bad
1	The University curriculum has prepared me adequately for my Ph.D. journey and I have received adequate knowledge for the same	3	4			
2	The curriculum of the coursework is well designed and promotes learning experience and research experience of the scholars	4	3			
3	The courses offered by the University have relevance to societal needs and Ph.D. potential	4	3			
4	The University encourages contributions from Scholars in curriculum and Scholar development	5	1	1		

Sr No	Question	Yes	No
1	Would you recommend any new course/topic to be added to the coursework?	2	5
	courses will help like detailed Linear Algebra or R. Python and ML More usage of statistical software while analyzing data		

Summary of Feedback Analysis and Action Taken:

Sr. No	Particulars /	Action Taken
This is for FoCS only. Greater emphasis on CS related courses will help like detailed Linear Algebra or R. Python and ML can be included in syllabus		It can be included in guide specific courses as per the scholars need
More usage of statistical software while analyzing data		

Note: Please enclose Three filled forms.

Name and Signature of
Faculty In Charge / Coordinator
Dr. Ajey Kumar - Faculty In Charge-FoCS, SIU
Ms. Archana Pardeshi - Ph.D Coordinator - FoCS, SIU

Name and Signature of Dean
Dr. Dhanya Pramod
Dean - FoCS, SIU

Filled form 1 (Scanned Copy)

Ph.D. Coursework Feedback on design and review of the syllabus

Ph.D Coursework Feedback - Batch Jan. 2020 (FoCS-SIU)

Feedback on basis of Rating : 5 = Strongly Agree 4 = Agree 3 = Neutral 2 = Disagree 1 = Strongly Disagree.

Email address *

kirti.sandhan@gmail.com

The University curriculum has prepared me adequately for my Ph.D. journey and I have received adequate knowledge for the same *

- ⦿ 5
- ◯ 4
- ◯ 3
- ◯ 2
- ◯ 1

Filled form 2 (Scanned Copy)

Ph.D. Coursework Feedback on design and review of the syllabus

Ph.D Coursework Feedback - Batch Jan. 2020 (FoCS-SIU)

Feedback on basis of Rating : 5 = Strongly Agree 4 = Agree 3 = Neutral 2 = Disagree 1 = Strongly Disagree.

Email address *

madjeuma@gmail.com

The University curriculum has prepared me adequately for my Ph.D. journey and I have received adequate knowledge for the same *

- ○ 5
- ◉ 4
- ○ 3
- ○ 2
- ○ 1

Filled form 3 (Scanned Copy)

review of the syllabus

Ph.D Coursework Feedback - Batch Jan. 2020 (FoCS-SIU)

Feedback on basis of Rating : 5 = Strongly Agree 4 = Agree 3 = Neutral 2 = Disagree 1 = Strongly Disagree.

Email address *

batra.rashmi@gmail.com

The University curriculum has prepared me adequately for my Ph.D. journey and I have
received adequate knowledge for the same *

- ○ 5
- ◉ 4
- ○ 3
- ○ 2
- ○ 1

Name of the Faculty: Faculty of Computer Studies
Name of the Program: PhD
Stakeholders Feedback for design and review of syllabus
Academic Year: 2019 - 2020   Batch -   Jan. 2020
Please mention in 1 or 2 sentences the process of feedback collection, analysis and action taken -   We collected the feedback from faculty members of Ph.D Programmee regarding the curriculum and any changes in the curriculum suggested.   Moreover some faculty members from our institute contributed in syllabus restructuring or suggesting new courses in the upcoming academic year's curriculum. Some of the suggestions made by the faculties are put forth in the BoS of the FoCS..
No. of Respondents :   03

Questionnaire with parameter wise number of responses: (Please fill analysis of scale/ choice based questions only. Please do not mention text based /descriptive question responses here)

 Sample table is attached below . In case of an unstructured feedback, please write a brief paragraph explaining how the feedback was taken and a gist of the feedback in place of the below given table

Sr No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Bad
1	The University curriculum has prepared me adequately for my Ph.D. journey and I have received adequate knowledge for the same	3				
2	The curriculum of the coursework is well designed and promotes learning experience and research experience of the scholars	3				
3	The courses offered by the University have relevance to societal needs and Ph.D. potential	2	1			
4	The University encourages contributions from Scholars in curriculum and Scholar development	2	1			

Sr No	Question	Yes	No
1	Would you recommend any new course/topic to be added to the coursework?		3

Summary of Feedback Analysis and Action Taken: NA

	Sr. No	Particulars / Action	Action Taken

Note: Please enclose Three filled forms.

Name and Signature of

Faculty In Charge / Coordina ~~Ajey~~

Dr. Ajey Kumar - Faculty In Charge-FoCS, S ~~Pardeshi~~

Ms. Archana Pardeshi - Ph.D Coordinator - FoCS, SIU

SCIT

Name and Signature of Dean

Dr. Dhanya Pramod

Dean - FoCS, SIU

Filled form 1 (Scanned Copy)

Ph.D. Coursework Feedback on design and review of the syllabus

Feedback - Regarding Ph.D coursework (FoCS-SIU) Batch Jan. (2020)

Feedback on basis of Rating : 5 = Strongly Agree 4 = Agree 3 = Neutral 2 = Disagree 1 = Strongly Disagree.

Email address *

mandaar@scit.edu

The University curriculum has prepared me adequately for my Ph.D. journey and I have received adequate knowledge for the same *

- ◉ 5
- ○ 4
- ○ 3
- ○ 2
- ○ 1

Filled form 2 (Scanned Copy)

Ph.D. Coursework Feedback on design and review of the syllabus

Feedback - Regarding Ph.D coursework (FoCS-SIU)   Batch Jan. (2020)

Feedback on basis of Rating : 5 = Strongly Agree 4 = Agree 3 = Neutral 2 = Disagree 1 = Strongly Disagree.

Email address *

anil@scit.edu

The University curriculum has prepared me adequately for my Ph.D. journey and I have received adequate knowledge for the same *

- ◉ 5
- ○ 4
- ○ 3
- ○ 2
- ○ 1

Filled form 3 (Scanned Copy)

Ph.D. Coursework Feedback on design and review of the syllabus

Feedback - Regarding Ph.D coursework (FoCS-SIU)   Batch Jan. (2020)

Feedback on basis of Rating : 5 = Strongly Agree 4 = Agree 3 = Neutral 2 = Disagree 1 = Strongly Disagree.

Email address *

ajeykumar@scit.edu

The University curriculum has prepared me adequately for my Ph.D. journey and I have received adequate knowledge for the same *

- ● 5
- ○ 4
- ○ 3
- ○ 2
- ○ 1